draft-ietf-lemonade-nms-mapping

The Light at the End of the Tunnel Is Not a Train

Status

* -04 approved by IESG but appealed
* Issues discussed on list ~6/10 - 7/5
* Looks like consensus on x-headers ~6/28
* IESG resolution allows group to revise

Issues (1)

* Very little group discussion after -02
* "SHOULD" retain X-MMS-Message-ID
* Maps X-MMS-Priority to/from X-Priority as well as Importance
* Suggests using comment instead of group syntax to indicate sender address hiding
* "ESMTP" vs "SMTP"

Issues (2)

* Text on address hiding in 'Sender Address' in 2.1.3.2
* Text on media conversion in "Content type" in 2.1.3.2
* Definition of "Gateway"
* Phrase "Relay/Servers"

Issues (3)

* Statement that 2821 requires null return-path for automatically-generated messages
* Resent-Count header
* Text on quoting and Resent and Received headers in "Resending/Forwarding" in 2.1.3.2.2

Issues (4)

* Lack of specific response code in "Sensitivity" text
* Security Considerations says that SMTP Auth protects against misidentification of message source
* MMS references not normative
* Bcc example in Section 3 is incorrect

Issues (5)

* Hand-waving on creating Message-ID
* Need text on unqualified addresses
* Text on anonymous remailers and signed mail in Section 3 is silly
* Incorrect or incomplete text in Section 3 (SMTP auth, S/MIME, PGP)

Issues (6)

* Semantic mismatches between the Disposition-Notification-To header in [MDN] and the X-MMS-Read-Reply header
* WG Review

Resolution

- X-headers resolved on list
- Need discussion on
  - Sender address hiding
  - MDN vs MMS read-reply
  - Require null return path for automatically-generated messages?
- Other text changes needed (-05)